

Relativistic Hartree approach including negative-energy bound states

G. Mao, H. Stöcker, W. Greiner

Institut für Theoretische Physik der J. W. Goethe-Universität

Postfach 11 19 32, D-60054 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

(December 1, 2005)

We develop a relativistic model to describe the bound states of negative energy in finite nuclei. Instead of searching for the negative-energy solution of the nucleon's Dirac equation, we solve the Dirac equations for the nucleon and the anti-nucleon simultaneously. The single-particle energies of negative-energy nucleons are obtained through changing the sign of the single-particle energies of positive-energy anti-nucleons. The contributions of the Dirac sea to the source terms of the meson fields are evaluated by means of the derivative expansion up to the leading derivative order for the one-meson loop and one-nucleon loop.

PACS number(s): 21.60.-n; 21.10.-k

I. INTRODUCTION

The extension of the periodic system has a long history in chemistry and physics. Particularly at the end of the sixties and the beginning of the seventies, the time when heavy-ion collisions had its advent, the idea of super-heavy elements emerged. Besides the extension of the periodic system to the *islands of super-heavy nuclei* within the proton Z - and neutron N -axis, there exist two fascinating direction, i.e., to extend Z and N into the negative sector, \bar{Z} and \bar{N} , and into the multistrangeness dimension. The first idea was put forward in detail in Ref. [1] where a *collective anti-matter production mechanism* was proposed. The main physical considerations are the following: In the relativistic treatment of nuclear phenomena, one uses the Dirac equation to describe nucleons in nuclei

$$[\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta(M_N + S) + V] \Psi = E\Psi. \quad (1)$$

Here S and V are the scalar and time-like vector potentials acting on nucleons in the nuclear medium. In the simplest version of relativistic mean field theory the scalar and vector interaction are mediated by the sigma- and omega-meson exchange, respectively. The Dirac equation has two solutions, i.e., the positive-energy solution and the negative-energy solution. For the positive-energy solution, the nucleon central potential $U_{cen} \sim V + S$ while for the negative-energy solution, due to the “G-parity”¹, the central anti-matter potential becomes $\bar{U}_{cen} \sim -V + S$. Relativistic mean-field (RMF) calculations in nuclear matter predict $V \sim 300$ MeV and $S \sim -350$ MeV [2]. In these calculations the vacuum contributions have been neglected and the definite values of V and S are parameter-dependent. If these two values for V and S are inserted into the formulae

¹The “G-parity” is an internal symmetry of the exchanged mesons in strong interactions, which connects the NN potential $U(NN) = \sum_m V_m$ to the $N\bar{N}$ potential $U(N\bar{N}) = \sum_m G(m)V_m$. G is the combination of the isospin symmetry and the C -conjugation rule and is usually defined as $G = C \exp(-i\pi I_2)$. In particular, $G(\sigma) = G(\rho) = 1$ and $G(\omega) = G(\pi) = -1$.

of the central potentials, one immediately obtains $U_{cen} \sim -50$ MeV and $\bar{U}_{cen} \sim -650$ MeV. Therefore, the bound states of negative energy might be much deeper than the bound states of positive energy, although the exact potential depth of the bound states of negative energy has not been experimentally verified up to now. Furthermore, the vector potential V increases linearly with increasing of density. In violent relativistic heavy-ion collisions, the density may become very large, $\rho \sim 5 - 10\rho_0$. The potential of nucleons of negative energy (i.e., the anti-nucleons), may become larger than twice the nucleon's free mass with increasing density. Then, nucleons may be spontaneously emitted [3]. But nucleons will also be emitted due to the dynamics, i.e., due to the time dependent change of their orbitals, i.e., due to the Fourier frequencies of the time dependent potentials and complex scatterings in the violent compression processes of a heavy-ion collision, and also due to temperature built-up in such violent encounters. These can create a great number of nucleon holes (i.e., anti-nucleons) in bound states. Then, "clusters" of holes (anti-nucleons) are distributed over shell-model-like orbitals. They are bound by the meson fields created by the compressed matter. They are, so to speak, prepared collectively for fusion into anti-matter clusters. Again, due to the Fourier frequencies of the violent nucleus-nucleus dynamics during and after the encounter, these anti-matter clusters can be kicked into the anti-cluster continuum, i.e., the negative-energy continuum, and may escape collectively out of the reaction zone. Another possible mechanism for anti-matter cluster escaping from the reaction zone is due to the space-time dependent event by event fluctuations of N -body phase space of anti-nucleons which is not a smooth distribution function as in the case of 1-body calculations. Such collective creation processes of anti-matter clusters have a high potential to increase the probability for the production of light anti-matter clusters than the standard processes of particle scattering and coalescence.

To realize the above theoretical conjecture for the production of anti-nuclei, – and analogously also for multi- Λ , multi- $\bar{\Lambda}$ nuclei, one should answer at least three questions in a rigorous way both from the theoretical side and the experimental side: Firstly, how deep are the bound states of negative energy (i.e., the bound states of anti-nucleons) in nuclei? Secondly, how does the potential of anti-nucleons increase with the increase of density

during the violent relativistic heavy-ion collisions and what is the dynamical production procedure for the anti-matter clusters? Thirdly, can we really have stable or meta-stable (heavy) anti-nuclei? In this work, we build a model to deal with the first question, which might be extended to answer the third question as well in the future.

It is well known that relativistic mean-field theory had great success in describing the ground states of finite nuclei, i.e., the bound states of positive energy [4–6]. In this model, one usually considers only the positive-energy solution of the Dirac equation and neglects the contributions from the vacuum (the so-called *no sea approximation*). With the parameters obtained as providing the *best-fit* to the properties of spherical nuclei, such as NL1 [4] and TM1 [7] parameter sets, the model describes well the ground-state properties of spherical nuclei as well as the deformation properties of even-even nuclei. Extensive investigation of various parameter sets in connection with predicting shells for superheavy nuclei have recently been given in Ref. [8]. However, this all has been done in the no-sea approximation and without vacuum corrections. The later, the effects of quantum corrections, i.e., the vacuum contributions and their effects on the bound states of positive energy were investigated by several authors [9–13] within the local density approximation for the one-nucleon loop, the one-meson loop and for the derivative expansion for the one-nucleon loop only (in a chiral model, loop corrections have been investigated in nuclear matter e.g., in Ref. [14]). The relativistic mean-field approximation is extended to the relativistic Hartree approximation (RHA). In that version, i.e., RHA, the parameters are fitted to the saturation properties of nuclear matter as well as the rms charge radius in ^{40}Ca . The *best-fit* procedure within RHA to the properties of spherical nuclei has not been performed yet. In these preliminary studies it is found that the vacuum contributions do not improve the systematics of nuclei over RMF but the scalar density is decreased in the interior of nuclei. It, in turn, will cause the decrease of the scalar field S because the scalar field is coupled to the scalar density. Correspondingly, the vector field V will also decrease since the value $V + S$ is controlled by the saturation properties. Therefore, even if the vacuum corrections may not cause significant difference to the bound states of positive energy after refitting the parameters, they should have strong influence on the

bound states of negative energy which are sensitive to the sum of scalar and vector field $-V + S$ rather than the cancelation $V + S$ (remember: V is positive, S is negative!).

In the present paper we will develop a relativistic Hartree approach for the bound states of negative energy in finite nuclei. The wave functions of the nucleons with positive energy are used to calculate the contributions of the valence nucleons. In principle, one should also use the wave functions of the anti-nucleons to evaluate the contributions of the bound states emerging from the Dirac sea. Considering the difficulties caused by the large number of anti-nucleons in bound states in any practical numerical procedure, we will employ the technique of derivative expansion developed in Ref. [15] to compute the effects of the Dirac sea, including the contributions of the one-nucleon loop as well as the one-meson loop. The paper is organized as follows: In Sect. II we introduce the effective Lagrangean used here. In Sect. III the plane-wave solutions of the Dirac equation in homogeneous nuclear matter are discussed. In Sect. IV we constitute the RHA approach for the bound states of negative energy. Finally, a summary and outlook is given in Sect. V.

II. EFFECTIVE LAGRANGIAN

We start from the Lagrangian density for nucleons interacting through the exchange of mesons [2,4,5]

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_F + \mathcal{L}_I. \quad (2)$$

Here \mathcal{L}_F is the Lagrangian density for free nucleon, mesons and photon

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_F = & \bar{\psi}[i\gamma_\mu\partial^\mu - M_N]\psi + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\sigma\partial^\mu\sigma - U(\sigma) - \frac{1}{4}\omega_{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu\nu} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}m_\omega^2\omega_\mu\omega^\mu - \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{R}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{R}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_\rho^2\mathbf{R}_\mu\cdot\mathbf{R}^\mu - \frac{1}{4}A_{\mu\nu}A^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and $U(\sigma)$ is the self-interaction part of the scalar field [16]

$$U(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}m_\sigma^2\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{3!}b\sigma^3 + \frac{1}{4!}c\sigma^4. \quad (4)$$

In the above expressions ψ is the Dirac spinor of the nucleon; σ , ω_μ , \mathbf{R}_μ and A_μ represent the scalar meson, vector meson, isovector-vector meson field and the electromagnetic field,

respectively. Here the field tensors for the omega, rho and photon are given in terms of their potentials by

$$\omega_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \omega_\nu - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \mathbf{R}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathbf{R}_\mu, \quad (6)$$

$$A_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu. \quad (7)$$

\mathcal{L}_I is the interaction Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_I = g_\sigma \bar{\psi} \psi \sigma - g_\omega \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \psi \omega^\mu - \frac{1}{2} g_\rho \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi} \mathbf{R}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} e \bar{\psi} (1 + \tau_0) \gamma_\mu \psi A^\mu. \quad (8)$$

Here $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is the isospin operator of the nucleon and τ_0 is its third component. g_σ , g_ω , g_ρ and $e^2/4\pi = 1/137$ are the coupling strengths for the σ -, ω -, ρ -meson and for the photon, respectively. M_N is the free nucleon mass and m_σ , m_ω , m_ρ are the masses of the σ -, ω -, and ρ -meson. For simplicity, in the following discussions we consider the σ - and ω -exchange explicitly. The relevant formulae for the ρ -exchange and the electromagnetic field can be obtained in a straightforward way after calculating the ω -exchange. The detailed expressions for all these meson fields and the electromagnetic field will be given at the end.

II. PLANE-WAVE SOLUTIONS OF THE DIRAC EQUATION IN STATIC NUCLEAR MATTER

From the effective Lagrangian given in the above section, we obtain the Dirac equation

$$[i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - g_\omega \gamma_\mu \omega^\mu - M_N + g_\sigma \sigma] \psi = 0. \quad (9)$$

In static nuclear matter, this reads

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi = [-i \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} + g_\omega \omega_0 + \beta (M_N - g_\sigma \sigma)] \psi. \quad (10)$$

We know that Eq. (10) has two solutions, i.e., the positive-energy solution E_+ and the negative-energy solution E_- , which satisfy the following equations

$$(E_+ - g_\omega \omega_0) \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{p}) = [\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta (M_N - g_\sigma \sigma)] \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{p}), \quad (11)$$

$$(E_- - g_\omega \omega_0) \mathcal{V}(-\mathbf{p}) = [\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta (M_N - g_\sigma \sigma)] \mathcal{V}(-\mathbf{p}). \quad (12)$$

Here $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{p})$ and $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{p})$ are two spinors [17]

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{p}) = N \begin{pmatrix} \chi_\sigma \\ \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{E^*(\mathbf{p}) + m^*} \chi_\sigma \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{p}) = N \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{E^*(\mathbf{p}) + m^*} \chi_\sigma \\ \chi_\sigma \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

where N is the normalization factor and

$$m^* = M_N - g_\sigma \sigma, \quad (14)$$

$$E^*(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^{*2}}. \quad (15)$$

Defining the effective positive-energy E_+^* and the effective negative-energy E_-^* as

$$E_+^* = E_+ - g_\omega \omega_0 \quad E_-^* = E_- - g_\omega \omega_0, \quad (16)$$

together with Eqs. (11) ~ (13) one can perform calculations similar to the free Dirac equation and obtains

$$E_+^* = E^*(\mathbf{p}) \quad E_-^* = -E^*(\mathbf{p}). \quad (17)$$

Therefore,

$$E_+ = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^{*2}} + g_\omega \omega_0, \quad (18)$$

$$E_- = -\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^{*2}} + g_\omega \omega_0. \quad (19)$$

The wave packet ψ can be expanded according to the plane waves of positive energy and negative energy as

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{m^*}{E^*(\mathbf{p})} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{\pm s} \left[b_{\mathbf{p},s} \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{p}, s) e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} - iE_+ t} + d_{\mathbf{p},s}^+ \mathcal{V}(-\mathbf{p}, s) e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} - iE_- t} \right]. \quad (20)$$

The coefficient $b_{\mathbf{p},s}$ are the probability amplitudes for waves with positive energy, whereas $d_{\mathbf{p},s}^+$ are those for negative energy. Both the nucleons with positive energy and negative energy transport forward in time. According to the Dirac's hole theory, each particle

has its partner anti-particle which transports backward in time. One can write down the corresponding equations for the anti-nucleons which have the opposite signs for the energy and momentum term compared to the eigenequations of the nucleons

$$-\left(\bar{E}_+ + g_\omega \omega_0\right) \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{p}) = [-\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta (M_N - g_\sigma \sigma)] \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{p}), \quad (21)$$

$$-\left(\bar{E}_- + g_\omega \omega_0\right) \mathcal{U}(-\mathbf{p}) = [-\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta (M_N - g_\sigma \sigma)] \mathcal{U}(-\mathbf{p}). \quad (22)$$

Here \bar{E}_+ , \bar{E}_- are the positive energy and negative energy of the anti-nucleon and $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{p})$, $\mathcal{U}(-\mathbf{p})$ are their corresponding eigenfunctions, respectively. Again, we define the effective positive-energy \bar{E}_+^* and the effective negative-energy \bar{E}_-^* of the anti-nucleon as

$$\bar{E}_+^* = \bar{E}_+ + g_\omega \omega_0 \quad \bar{E}_-^* = \bar{E}_- + g_\omega \omega_0. \quad (23)$$

With the spinors of Eq. (13) we obtain

$$\bar{E}_+^* = E^*(\mathbf{p}) \quad \bar{E}_-^* = -E^*(\mathbf{p}) \quad (24)$$

and

$$\bar{E}_+ = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^{*2}} - g_\omega \omega_0, \quad (25)$$

$$\bar{E}_- = -\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^{*2}} - g_\omega \omega_0. \quad (26)$$

From Eqs. (18), (19) and (25), (26) one can easily find the relations

$$\bar{E}_+ = -E_- \quad \bar{E}_- = -E_+. \quad (27)$$

Thus, in order to obtain the full spectrum of the Dirac equation, one can solve the equations for the nucleon with positive energy and negative energy, i.e., Eqs. (11) and (12). Alternatively, one can solve the Dirac equations for the nucleon and the anti-nucleon but both of them with positive energy, i.e., Eqs. (11) and (21). The wave packet ψ can also be expanded according to the plane waves of the nucleon and the anti-nucleon as

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{m^*}{E^*(\mathbf{p})} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{\pm s} \left[b_{\mathbf{p},s} \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{p}, s) e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} - iE_+ t} + d_{\mathbf{p},s}^+ \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{p}, s) e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} + i\bar{E}_+ t} \right]. \quad (28)$$

Here now both the nucleon as well as the anti-nucleon have positive energy. The nucleon transports forward in time while the anti-nucleon transports backward in time which can be seen from the sign of time in exponential functions. In relativistic quantum field theory, $b_{\mathbf{p},s}^+$ and $d_{\mathbf{p},s}^+$ are explained as the creation operators for the nucleon and the anti-nucleon, respectively. This is the main strategy which will be used in our following considerations for finite nuclei. Instead of searching for two solutions with positive energy and negative energy for the Dirac equation of the nucleon, we solve the Dirac equations for the nucleon and the anti-nucleon simultaneously. For each equation we look for only one solution, that is, the positive-energy solution.

When the negative energy of the nucleon E_- is larger than the nucleon free mass, the system becomes unstable with respect to the nucleon–anti-nucleon pair creation. At zero-momentum, one has the critical condition

$$g_\sigma \sigma + g_\omega \omega_0 = 2M_N, \quad (29)$$

that is,

$$V - S = 2M_N \quad (30)$$

if one defines

$$S = -g_\sigma \sigma \quad V = g_\omega \omega_0. \quad (31)$$

IV. RELATIVISTIC HARTREE APPROXIMATION OF FINITE NUCLEI

In finite nuclei the meson fields in Eq. (10) are space-dependent. The field operator of the Dirac equation can be written as

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\alpha} \left[b_{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-iE_{\alpha} t} + d_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \psi_{\alpha}^a(\mathbf{x}) e^{i\bar{E}_{\alpha} t} \right]. \quad (32)$$

Here the label α denotes the full set of single-particle quantum numbers. $\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ are the wave functions of nucleons and $\psi_{\alpha}^a(\mathbf{x})$ are those of anti-nucleons; E_{α} and \bar{E}_{α} are their positive energies, respectively. b_{α}^{\dagger} and d_{α}^{\dagger} are nucleon and anti-nucleon creation operators

that satisfy the standard anticommutation relations. We assume that the meson fields depend only on the radius and discuss the problem in spherically symmetric nuclei. In this case, the usual angular momentum and parity are good quantum numbers. As described in Refs. [17,18], eigenfunctions of the angular momentum and the parity operator are the well-known spherical spinors. We make the following ansatz for the wave functions of nucleons

$$\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} i \frac{G_\alpha(r)}{r} \Omega_{jlm}(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}) \\ \frac{F_\alpha(r)}{r} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{r} \Omega_{jlm}(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (33)$$

and anti-nucleons

$$\psi_\alpha^a(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\bar{F}_\alpha(r)}{r} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{r} \Omega_{jlm}(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}) \\ i \frac{\bar{G}_\alpha(r)}{r} \Omega_{jlm}(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

Here Ω_{jlm} are the spherical spinors defined as [17]

$$\Omega_{jlm} = \sum_{m'm_s} \left(l \frac{1}{2} j \mid m' m_s m \right) Y_{lm'} \chi_{\frac{1}{2} m_s}, \quad (35)$$

$Y_{lm'}$ are the spherical harmonics and $\chi_{\frac{1}{2} m_s}$ are the eigenfunctions of the spin operators. G_α , F_α and \bar{F}_α , \bar{G}_α are the remaining *real* radial wave functions of nucleons and anti-nucleons for upper and lower components, respectively. Applying the parity operator $\hat{P} = e^{i\phi} \beta \hat{P}_0$, \hat{P}_0 changes \mathbf{x} into $-\mathbf{x}$, to Eqs. (33) and (34), one can easily find that the $\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ has the opposite eigenvalue of parity, $(-1)^l$, to the $\psi_\alpha^a(\mathbf{x})$, $(-1)^{l+1}$, as it should be [17].

Inserting Eqs. (33) and (34) into Eq. (10) ($\sigma \rightarrow \sigma(r)$ and $\omega_0 \rightarrow \omega_0(r)$), we obtain the coupled radial wave functions for the nucleon

$$E_\alpha G_\alpha(r) = \left(-\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{k_\alpha}{r} \right) F_\alpha(r) + (M_N - g_\sigma \sigma(r) + g_\omega \omega_0(r)) G_\alpha(r), \quad (36)$$

$$E_\alpha F_\alpha(r) = \left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{k_\alpha}{r} \right) G_\alpha(r) + (-M_N + g_\sigma \sigma(r) + g_\omega \omega_0(r)) F_\alpha(r) \quad (37)$$

and the anti-nucleon

$$-\bar{E}_\alpha \bar{F}_\alpha(r) = \left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{k_\alpha}{r} \right) \bar{G}_\alpha(r) + (M_N - g_\sigma \sigma(r) + g_\omega \omega_0(r)) \bar{F}_\alpha(r), \quad (38)$$

$$-\bar{E}_\alpha \bar{G}_\alpha(r) = \left(-\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{k_\alpha}{r} \right) \bar{F}_\alpha(r) + (-M_N + g_\sigma \sigma(r) + g_\omega \omega_0(r)) \bar{G}_\alpha(r) \quad (39)$$

respectively, where

$$k_\alpha = \begin{cases} -(l+1) & \text{for } j = l + \frac{1}{2} \\ l & \text{for } j = l - \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}. \quad (40)$$

In order to resemble Schrödinger equations for the Dirac equations, we eliminate the small components. For the nucleon we eliminate the lower component while for the anti-nucleon the upper component. By defining the Schrödinger equivalent effective mass and potential of the nucleon

$$M_{eff} = E_\alpha - g_\omega \omega_0(r) + M_N - g_\sigma \sigma(r), \quad (41)$$

$$U_{eff} = M_N - g_\sigma \sigma(r) + g_\omega \omega_0(r) \quad (42)$$

and the anti-nucleon

$$\bar{M}_{eff} = \bar{E}_\alpha + g_\omega \omega_0(r) + M_N - g_\sigma \sigma(r), \quad (43)$$

$$\bar{U}_{eff} = M_N - g_\sigma \sigma(r) - g_\omega \omega_0(r), \quad (44)$$

we arrive at the Schrödinger equations for the upper component of the nucleon's wave function

$$E_\alpha G_\alpha(r) = \left(-\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{k_\alpha}{r} \right) M_{eff}^{-1} \left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{k_\alpha}{r} \right) G_\alpha(r) + U_{eff} G_\alpha(r) \quad (45)$$

and the lower component of the anti-nucleon's wave function

$$\bar{E}_\alpha \bar{G}_\alpha(r) = \left(-\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{k_\alpha}{r} \right) \bar{M}_{eff}^{-1} \left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{k_\alpha}{r} \right) \bar{G}_\alpha(r) + \bar{U}_{eff} \bar{G}_\alpha(r). \quad (46)$$

The small components can be obtained through the following relations

$$F_\alpha(r) = M_{eff}^{-1} \left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{k_\alpha}{r} \right) G_\alpha(r), \quad (47)$$

$$\bar{F}_\alpha(r) = -\bar{M}_{eff}^{-1} \left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{k_\alpha}{r} \right) \bar{G}_\alpha(r). \quad (48)$$

Of course, the radial wave functions are normalized

$$\int_0^\infty dr \left[|G_\alpha(r)|^2 + |F_\alpha(r)|^2 \right] = 1, \quad (49)$$

$$\int_0^\infty dr \left[|\bar{G}_\alpha(r)|^2 + |\bar{F}_\alpha(r)|^2 \right] = 1. \quad (50)$$

From Eqs. (45) and (46) one finds that the Schrödinger equation of the anti-nucleon has the same form as that of the nucleon. The only difference relies on the definition of the effective mass and potential, that is, the vector field changes its sign. The so-called *G-parity* comes out automatically. Eqs. (45) and (46) can be solved numerically by the standard technique as described in Ref. [4]. The single-particle energy of the nucleon and the anti-nucleon can be written as

$$E_\alpha = \int_0^\infty dr \left\{ G_\alpha(r) \left(-\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{k_\alpha}{r} \right) F_\alpha(r) + F_\alpha(r) \left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{k_\alpha}{r} \right) G_\alpha(r) \right. \\ \left. + G_\alpha(r) U_{eff} G_\alpha(r) - F_\alpha(r) (M_{eff} - E_\alpha) F_\alpha(r) \right\}, \quad (51)$$

$$\bar{E}_\alpha = \int_0^\infty dr \left\{ -\bar{G}_\alpha(r) \left(-\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{k_\alpha}{r} \right) \bar{F}_\alpha(r) - \bar{F}_\alpha(r) \left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{k_\alpha}{r} \right) \bar{G}_\alpha(r) \right. \\ \left. + \bar{G}_\alpha(r) \bar{U}_{eff} \bar{G}_\alpha(r) - \bar{F}_\alpha(r) (\bar{M}_{eff} - \bar{E}_\alpha) \bar{F}_\alpha(r) \right\}, \quad (52)$$

which are obtained through the iteration procedure. The negative energies of nucleons are just the minus sign of \bar{E}_α .

The meson fields in Eqs. (41) ~ (44) are determined by the Laplace equations

$$(\nabla^2 - m_\sigma^2) \sigma(r) = -g_\sigma \rho_S(r) + \frac{1}{2} b \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{3!} c \sigma^3, \quad (53)$$

$$(\nabla^2 - m_\omega^2) \omega_0(r) = -g_\omega \rho_0(r), \quad (54)$$

where

$$\rho_S(r) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \bar{\psi}_i \psi_i + CT, \quad (55)$$

$$\rho_0(r) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi_i^\dagger \psi_i + CT. \quad (56)$$

The *CT* are the counterterms. The sums in Eqs. (55) and (56) run over the full spectrum of the Dirac equation: the negative-energy continuum (the positive-energy continuum of the anti-nucleon), some negative-energy bound states (positive-energy bound states of the anti-nucleon), the positive-energy bound states which correspond to the usual nucleon shell model states and the positive-energy continuum.

Let us first assume that the positive-energy continuum and the negative-energy continuum together with the contributions of the counter terms will yield finite terms for $\Delta\rho_S(r)$ and $\Delta\rho_0(r)$. The scalar and baryon density can then be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_S(r) &= \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \sum_{\alpha} w_{\alpha}(2j_{\alpha} + 1)(G_{\alpha}^2(r) - F_{\alpha}^2(r)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \sum_{\beta} w_{\beta}(2j_{\beta} + 1)(\bar{G}_{\beta}^2(r) - \bar{F}_{\beta}^2(r)) + \Delta\rho_S(r),\end{aligned}\tag{57}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_0(r) &= \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \sum_{\alpha} w_{\alpha}(2j_{\alpha} + 1)(G_{\alpha}^2(r) + F_{\alpha}^2(r)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \sum_{\beta} w_{\beta}(2j_{\beta} + 1)(\bar{G}_{\beta}^2(r) + \bar{F}_{\beta}^2(r)) - \Delta\rho_0(r),\end{aligned}\tag{58}$$

where w_{α} , w_{β} are the occupation numbers. The first terms on the right-hand-side of Eqs. (57) and (58) denote the contributions of the shell model states while the second terms represent the contributions of the bound states of negative energy. If one thinks about that there might exist twenty thousand nucleons in the bound states of negative energy, it is obviously not practical to compute the contributions of those bound states through evaluating their wave functions. Fortunately, a rather elegant technique has been developed by several authors [15], which takes into account the vacuum contributions to the source term of the meson fields in finite nuclei. Let us write

$$\rho_S(r) = \rho_S^{val}(r) + \rho_S^{sea}(r),\tag{59}$$

$$\rho_0(r) = \rho_0^{val}(r) + \rho_0^{sea}(r),\tag{60}$$

where $\rho_S^{val}(r)$ and $\rho_0^{val}(r)$ are just the first terms on the right-hand-side of Eqs. (57) and (58). $\rho_S^{sea}(r)$ and $\rho_0^{sea}(r)$ are the contributions of the vacuum, including the bound states and the continuum as well as the counterterm contributions. Originally, vacuum corrections for finite nuclei (in one-loop approximation) were included only in a local density approximation [2]. Later on, Perry [10] and Wasson [11] considered derivative corrections to the nuclear matter results. It was found that the leading derivative correction is of the same order of magnitude as the effective potential (i.e., the nuclear matter results) while the next-order derivative correction is two or three orders of magnitude smaller than the leading order. That means that the derivative expansion converges rapidly. Since we include the non-linear self-interaction of the scalar field in the model, the contributions of the one-meson loop from the scalar meson should be taken into account in addition to the one-nucleon loop. The contributions of the one-meson loop have been calculated in

Ref. [12] up to the effective potential term. Here this is extended to include the leading derivative correction.

The effective action of the system at the one-loop level can be written as

$$\Gamma = \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma - U(\sigma) - \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_\omega^2 \omega_\mu \omega^\mu + CT \right) + \Gamma_{\text{valence}} + \Gamma^{(1)}(\sigma) + \Gamma^{(1)}(\psi). \quad (61)$$

Here Γ_{valence} is the contribution from the valence nucleons, which for time independent background fields is just minus the energy of the valence nucleons. $\Gamma^{(1)}(\sigma)$ and $\Gamma^{(1)}(\psi)$ represent the contributions of the Dirac sea stemming from the one-meson loop and one-nucleon loop, respectively. They are defined as [19]

$$\Gamma^{(1)}(\sigma) = \frac{i}{2} \hbar \text{Tr} \ln \left[P^2 - (m_\sigma^2 + b\sigma + \frac{1}{2} c\sigma^2) \right], \quad (62)$$

$$\Gamma^{(1)}(\psi) = -i \hbar \text{Tr} \ln [\not{P} - m^* - g_\omega \not{\omega}], \quad (63)$$

where the trace is over spatial and internal variables. $\Gamma^{(1)}(\sigma)$ and $\Gamma^{(1)}(\psi)$ can be expanded in powers of the derivatives of the scalar and vector fields. Using Lorentz invariance one can determine the functional Taylor series as

$$\Gamma^{(1)}(\sigma) = \int d^4x \left(-V_B^{(1)}(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2} Z^{(1)}(\sigma) (\partial_\mu \sigma)^2 + Y^{(1)}(\sigma) (\partial_\mu \sigma)^4 + \dots \right), \quad (64)$$

$$\Gamma^{(1)}(\psi) = \int d^4x \left(-V_F^{(1)}(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2} Z_{1\sigma}^{(1)}(\sigma) (\partial_\mu \sigma)^2 + \frac{1}{4} Z_{1\omega}^{(1)}(\sigma) \omega_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu} + \dots \right). \quad (65)$$

Here $V_B^{(1)}(\sigma)$ and $V_F^{(1)}(\sigma)$ are the effective potentials from the one-boson loop and one-fermion loop, in which the field is a constant, $\sigma(x) = \sigma_0$, the same situation as in nuclear matter. These two terms contain divergent part and should be renormalized. Through adding the suitable counterterms, $V_B^{(1)}(\sigma)$ and $V_F^{(1)}(\sigma)$ can be evaluated in nuclear matter which turn out to be [20,2]

$$\begin{aligned} V_B^{(1)}(\sigma) = \frac{m_\sigma^4}{(8\pi)^2} & \left[\left(1 + \frac{b\sigma}{m_\sigma^2} + \frac{c\sigma^2}{2m_\sigma^2} \right)^2 \ln \left(1 + \frac{b\sigma}{m_\sigma^2} + \frac{c\sigma^2}{2m_\sigma^2} \right) - \left(\frac{b\sigma}{m_\sigma^2} + \frac{c\sigma^2}{2m_\sigma^2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{b\sigma}{m_\sigma^2} + \frac{c\sigma^2}{2m_\sigma^2} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{b\sigma}{m_\sigma^2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{b\sigma}{m_\sigma^2} + \frac{3c\sigma^2}{2m_\sigma^2} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{b\sigma}{m_\sigma^2} \right)^4 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

$$V_F^{(1)}(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left[(M_N - g_\sigma \sigma)^4 \ln \left(1 - \frac{g_\sigma \sigma}{M_N} \right) + M_N^3 g_\sigma \sigma - \frac{7}{2} M_N^2 g_\sigma^2 \sigma^2 + \frac{13}{3} M_N g_\sigma^3 \sigma^3 - \frac{25}{12} g_\sigma^4 \sigma^4 \right]. \quad (67)$$

As discussed above, the derivative expansion converges rapidly. Thus, here we consider only the lowest order derivative terms in Eqs. (64) and (65). By means of the technique developed in Ref. [15], one can determine the functional coefficients of the leading derivative correction, which read

$$Z^{(1)}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{12} \frac{(b + c\sigma)^2}{16\pi^2(m_\sigma^2 + b\sigma + \frac{1}{2}c\sigma^2)}, \quad (68)$$

$$Z_{1\sigma}^{(1)}(\sigma) = -\frac{g_\sigma^2}{2\pi^2} \ln \left(\frac{m^*}{M_N} \right), \quad (69)$$

$$Z_{1\omega}^{(1)}(\sigma) = \frac{g_\omega^2}{3\pi^2} \ln \left(\frac{m^*}{M_N} \right). \quad (70)$$

The above expressions are finite, and independent of any renormalization conditions. The divergent integral appearing in $\Gamma^{(1)}(\sigma)$ and $\Gamma^{(1)}(\psi)$ are included in the effective potential terms of $V_B^{(1)}(\sigma)$ and $V_F^{(1)}(\sigma)$. The equations of meson fields, i.e., Eqs. (53) and (54), can be obtained through minimizing the effective action of Eq. (61) with respect to the corresponding fields. With the definitions of Eqs. (57) \sim (60), Γ_{valence} contributes to the $\rho_S^{\text{val}}(r)$ and $\rho_0^{\text{val}}(r)$ while $\Gamma^{(1)}(\sigma)$ and $\Gamma^{(1)}(\psi)$ contribute to the $\rho_S^{\text{sea}}(r)$ and $\rho_0^{\text{sea}}(r)$. Inserting Eqs. (64) \sim (70) into Eq. (61) and minimizing the effective action, one obtains the concrete expressions of $\rho_S^{\text{sea}}(r)$ and $\rho_0^{\text{sea}}(r)$ which read

$$\rho_S^{\text{sea}}(r) = -\frac{1}{g_\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left[V_B^{(1)}(\sigma) + V_F^{(1)}(\sigma) \right] - \frac{1}{g_\sigma} \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial Z^{(1)}(\sigma)}{\partial \sigma} (\nabla \sigma)^2 - \nabla \cdot Z^{(1)}(\sigma) \nabla \sigma \right] - \frac{g_\sigma}{2\pi^2} \nabla \cdot \ln \left(\frac{m^*}{M_N} \right) \nabla \sigma - \frac{g_\sigma^2}{4\pi^2 m^*} (\nabla \sigma)^2 + \frac{g_\omega^2}{6\pi^2 m^*} (\nabla \omega_0)^2, \quad (71)$$

$$\rho_0^{\text{sea}}(r) = -\frac{g_\omega}{3\pi^2} \nabla \cdot \ln \left(\frac{m^*}{M_N} \right) \nabla \omega_0, \quad (72)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial V_B^{(1)}(\sigma)}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{m_\sigma^4}{(8\pi)^2} \left[2 \left(1 + \frac{b\sigma}{m_\sigma^2} + \frac{c\sigma^2}{2m_\sigma^2} \right) \left(\frac{b}{m_\sigma^2} + \frac{c\sigma}{m_\sigma^2} \right) \ln \left(1 + \frac{b\sigma}{m_\sigma^2} + \frac{c\sigma^2}{2m_\sigma^2} \right) - 2 \left(\frac{b\sigma}{m_\sigma^2} + \frac{c\sigma^2}{2m_\sigma^2} \right) \left(\frac{b}{m_\sigma^2} + \frac{c\sigma}{m_\sigma^2} \right) - \frac{b^2}{m_\sigma^6} (b\sigma^2 + 2c\sigma^3) + \frac{b^4}{3m_\sigma^8} \sigma^3 \right], \quad (73)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V_F^{(1)}(\sigma)}{\partial \sigma} = & -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left[-g_\sigma (M_N - g_\sigma \sigma)^3 \left(1 + 4 \ln \left(1 - \frac{g_\sigma \sigma}{M_N} \right) \right) + M_N^3 g_\sigma - 7 M_N^2 g_\sigma^2 \sigma \right. \\ & \left. + 13 M_N g_\sigma^3 \sigma^2 - \frac{25}{3} g_\sigma^4 \sigma^3 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial Z^{(1)}(\sigma)}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{1}{192\pi^2} \left[\frac{2c(b + c\sigma)}{(m_\sigma^2 + b\sigma + \frac{1}{2}c\sigma^2)} - \frac{(b + c\sigma)^3}{(m_\sigma^2 + b\sigma + \frac{1}{2}c\sigma^2)^2} \right]. \quad (75)$$

Note that $\rho_0^{sea}(r)$ is a total derivative and thus the baryon number is conserved.

To include the contributions of the ρ -exchange and the electromagnetic field, in Eqs. (41) and (42) we make a replacement of

$$g_\omega \omega_0(r) \longrightarrow g_\omega \omega_0(r) + \frac{1}{2} g_\rho \tau_{0\alpha} R_{0,0}(r) + \frac{1}{2} e(1 + \tau_{0\alpha}) A_0(r)$$

and in Eqs. (43) and (44)

$$g_\omega \omega_0(r) \longrightarrow g_\omega \omega_0(r) - \frac{1}{2} g_\rho \tau_{0\alpha} R_{0,0}(r) + \frac{1}{2} e(1 + \tau_{0\alpha}) A_0(r).$$

Here we have defined that the anti-particle has the same isospin factor as the corresponding particle. Note that the G-parity of ρ -meson is positive. The field equations of the ρ -meson and the photon read

$$(\nabla^2 - m_\rho^2) R_{0,0}(r) = -\frac{1}{2} g_\rho (\rho_{0,0}^{val}(r) + \rho_{0,0}^{sea}(r)), \quad (76)$$

$$\nabla^2 A_0(r) = -e(\rho_{Pr,0}^{val}(r) + \rho_{Pr,0}^{sea}(r)). \quad (77)$$

We assume isospin-symmetry in the bound states of negative energy, therefore, $\rho_{0,0}^{sea}(r) = 0$. Other densities appearing in Eqs. (76) and (77) can be calculated with the same steps as given above. At the end we obtain

$$\rho_{0,0}^{val}(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \sum_\alpha w_\alpha (2j_\alpha + 1) \tau_{0\alpha} (G_\alpha^2(r) + F_\alpha^2(r)), \quad (78)$$

$$\rho_{Pr,0}^{val}(r) = \frac{1}{2} (\rho_0^{val}(r) + \rho_{0,0}^{val}(r)), \quad (79)$$

$$\rho_{Pr,0}^{sea}(r) = -\frac{e}{6\pi^2} \nabla \cdot \ln \left(\frac{m^*}{M_N} \right) \nabla A_0(r). \quad (80)$$

If one includes the ρ -exchange and the electromagnetic field in Eq. (63), a term

$$\frac{e^2}{12\pi^2 m^*} (\nabla A_0)^2$$

should be added to Eq. (71), which represents the contribution of the electromagnetic field to the derivative correction of the one-nucleon loop. The corresponding contribution of the ρ -meson field is negligible in the isospin-symmetry vacuum. The Schrödinger equations (45), (46), and the Laplace equations (53), (54), (76), (77), must be solved numerically in a self-consistent iteration procedure [4].

V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

This paper develops a model to describe the bound states of negative energy in nuclei. Instead of expanding the field operator of the Dirac equation in plane waves of nucleons with positive energy and negative energy, here the expansion is done with plane waves for nucleons and anti-nucleons separately, both of them with positive energy. In this case, the Dirac equations of both, the nucleon and the anti-nucleon, can be reduced to Schrödinger-equivalent equations. For each equation, we then search for only one solution, i.e., the positive-energy solution. The numerical procedure used can be similar to the one currently used in the relativistic mean field theory for the bound states of positive energy, except that one more equation for the anti-nucleon is implemented. Thus, the model is solvable with existing techniques. The single-particle energy of the nucleon with negative energy is just the negative of the single-particle energy of the anti-nucleon with positive energy, i.e., the solution of the anti-nucleon's Schrödinger equation.

The contributions of the Dirac sea to the source terms of meson fields can be separated into two parts, that is, the contributions of the negative-energy bound states and the negative-energy continuum. In principle, one should use the wave functions of anti-nucleons to evaluate the effects of the bound states emerging from the Dirac sea. There might exist a large number of anti-nucleons in bound states. Hence, it is apparently not practical to calculate all those wave functions in the iteration procedure. Here we employ the technique of derivative expansion for the one-meson and one-nucleon loop to take into account the vacuum contributions of both the bound states and the continuum.

In the next step, we will first check the influence of the vacuum contributions on the bound states of positive energy for which experimental data are available using given parameter sets of the RMF model. Then, we use the available best-fit routine [4] to search for new sets of parameters with quantum corrections taken into account. We expect that this RHA approach with the new parameter set will give an equivalent or even better description for spherical nuclei as the RMF approach in the no-sea approximation. The model can then be used to predict the spectrum of nucleons with negative energy. As pointed out in the introduction, this is the first step to approach the quest of the vacuum correlation and the collective production of the anti-nuclei. Experimental efforts in this direction are presently underway [21].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

G. Mao is grateful to the Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung for financial support and to the people at the Institut für Theoretische Physik der J. W. Goethe Universität for their hospitality. This work was supported by DFG-Graduiertenkolleg Theoretische und Experimentelle Schwerionenphysik, GSI, BMBF, DFG, and A.v.Humboldt-Stiftung.

-
- [1] W. Greiner, Heavy Ion Physics **2**, 23 (1995).
 - [2] B. D. Serot and J. D. Walecka, Adv. Nucl. Phys. **16**, 1 (1986).
 - [3] I.N. Mishustin, L.M. Satarov, J. Schaffner, H. Stöcker and W. Greiner, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **19**, 1303 (1993).
 - [4] P.-G. Reinhard, M. Rufa, J. Maruhn, W. Greiner, J. Friedrich, Z. Phys. **A323**, 13 (1986);
M. Rufa, P.-G. Reinhard, J.A. Maruhn, W. Greiner, M.R. Strayer, Phys. Rev. **C38**, 390 (1988).
 - [5] Y.K. Gambhir, P. Ring and A. Thimet, Ann. Phys. **198**, 132 (1990).
 - [6] J. Boguta, Nucl. Phys. **A372**, 386 (1981).

- [7] Y. Sugahara and H. Toki, Nucl. Phys. **A579**, 557 (1994).
- [8] K. Rutz, M. Bender, T. Buervenich, T. Schilling, P.-G. Reinhardt, J.A. Maruhn, W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. **C56**, 238 (1997); M. Bender, K. Rutz, P.-G. Reinhard, J.A. Maruhn, W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. **C58**, 2126 (1998); T.B. Buervenich, K. Rutz, M. Bender, P.-G. Reinhard, J.A. Maruhn, W. Greiner, Eur. Phys. J. **A3**, 139 (1998).
- [9] C.J. Horowitz and B.D. Serot, Phys. Lett. **B140**, 181 (1984).
- [10] R.J. Perry, Phys. Lett. **B182**, 269 (1986); Nucl. Phys. **A467**, 717 (1987).
- [11] D.A. Wasson, Phys. Lett. **B210**, 41 (1988).
- [12] W.R. Fox, Nucl. Phys. **A495**, 463 (1989).
- [13] R.J. Furnstahl and C.E. Price, Phys. Rev. **C40**, 1398 (1989); Phys. Rev. **C41**, 1792 (1990).
- [14] T.L. Ainsworth, G.E. Brown, M. Prakash, and W. Weise, Phys. Lett. **B200**, 413 (1988).
- [15] I.J.R. Aitchison and C.M. Fraser, Phys. Lett. **B146**, 63 (1984); O. Cheyette, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 2394 (1985); C.M. Fraser, Z. Phys. **C28**, 101 (1985); L.H. Chan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 1222 (1985).
- [16] J. Boguta and H. Stöcker, Phys. Lett. **B120**, 289 (1983).
- [17] W. Greiner, *Quantum Mechanics*, (Springer, Berlin, 1989); *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*, (Springer, Berlin, 1990).
- [18] J.D. Bjorken and S.D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964); C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory*, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980).
- [19] R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. **D9**, 1686 (1974).
- [20] S.A. Chin, Ann. Phys. **108**, 301 (1977).
- [21] R. Arsenescu and the NA52 collaboration, J. Phys. **G25**, 225 (1999).